arXiv:1403.6462v1 [astro-ph.CO] 25 Mar 2014

Cosmological parameter fittings with the BICEP2 data

Fengquan Wu[1], Yichao Li[1,2], Youjun Lu[1], Xuelei Chen[1,3]
[1] *National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 20A Datun Road, Chaoyang District, Beijing 100012, China*
[2] *University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China*
[3] *Center of High Energy Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China*
(Dated: March 26, 2014)

Combining the latest Planck, WMAP, and BAO data, we exploit the recent B-mode power spectra data released by the BICEP2 collaboration to constrain the cosmological parameters of the ΛCDM model, esp. the primordial power spectra parameters of the scalar and the tensor modes, n_s, α_s, n_T, which could be considered to possibly suppress the large scale temperature signal in the presence of large tensor mode($r \simeq 0.2$) as reported by the BICEP2 group. We obtained constraints on the parameters for a lensed ΛCDM model using the Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) technique. For most parameters, the best fit values and measurement errors are not altered much by the introduction of the BICEP2 data. The most affected parameters are r and α_s. Combining Planck + WP + BICEP +BAO dataset, we obtain marginalized 68% bounds on some interested parameters are: $r = 0.2096^{+0.0443}_{-0.0608}$, $n_s = 0.9653^{+0.0129}_{-0.0146}$, $\alpha_s = -0.0226^{+0.0115}_{-0.0115}$, $n_t = 1.5261^{+3.4739}_{-3.5261}$. We found that n_t favors a blue tilt slightly, but it is still well consistent with flat or red tilt.

I. INTRODUCTION

The BICEP2 experiment[6, 7] which is a dedicated cosmic microwave background (CMB) polarization experiment, has announced recently that they have detected the B-mode polarization in CMB, from an observations of about 380 square degrees low-foreground area of sky during 2010 to 2012 in the South Pole. The detected B-mode power is in the multipole range $30 < \ell < 150$, a clear excess over the base lensed-ΛCDMmodel at these small ℓs, this excess can not be explained by the lensing contribution, for the CMB lensing peaks at $\ell \sim 1000$, at $\ell \sim 100$, the lensing induced B-mode power is too small. The BICEP team have also examined possible systematic error and potential foreground contaminations and excluded these as possible source of the observed B-mode power. Cross-correlation between frequency bands shows little change in the observed amplitude, implying that frequency-dependent foreground are not the dominant contributor. The presence of the B-modes induced primordial gravitational wave in the early universe provides a direct evidence for the inflation theory.

The tensor mode contribution to the CMB anisotropy may affect the global fitting of the cosmological parameters. The BICEP group reported their measured value of tensor-to-scalar ratio as $r = 0.20^{+0.07}_{-0.05}$, based on the lensed-ΛCDM+tensor model, and derived from importance sampling of the Planck MCMC chain using the direct likelihood method, but they did not give constraints on other parameters.

In this paper, we use the newly published BICEP2 CMB B-mode data, combined with the Planck CMB temperature data[8], the WMAP 9 year CMB polarization data[3, 11], and the BAO data from the SDSS DR9[2], SDSS DR7[14], 6dF[4], to constrain the cosmological parameters in the lensed ΛCDM model. We derive constraints on the lensed ΛCDM model using the publicly available code COSMOMC[13], which implements a Metropolis-Hastings algorithm to perform a MCMC simulation in order to fit the cosmological parameters. This method also provides reliable error estimates on the measured variables.

Previous CMB observations from the Planck satellite, the WMAP satellite and other CMB experiments yielded a limit of much smaller tensor-to-scalar ratio $r < 0.11$ (at 95% C.L.)[8], So there is some tension between the r value measured by the BICEP2 and the other CMB data, at least in the simplest lensed ΛCDM+tensors model. As pointed by the BICEP team[7], a simple way to relax this tension is to take the running of spectral index into account, and we will explore this possibility in our fit. There are also wide spread interests in the tensor power spectral index, as it is an important additional information for distinguishing inflation models [1, 5, 10], and a blue tensor power spectrum tilt $n_t \sim 2$ have been reported using the B-mode measurement[9]. Here we shall also investigate this problem and obtain an estimate of n_t and its measurement error.

II. THE FITTING OF COSMOLOGICAL PARAMETERS

We use the CosmoMC code [13] to run MCMC simulations to explore the parameter space and obtain limits on cosmological parameters. In our simulation we collected about 400000 chain samples, the first 1/3 of the data was used for burning in the chains and not used for the final analysis.

In addition of the BICEP data [7], we use the Planck CMB temperature data[8], the WMAP 9 year CMB polarization data[3, 11], and the BAO data from the SDSS DR9[2], SDSS DR7[14], 6dF[4] in our cosmological parameter fitting. Below, we use the following labels to denote the different data sets included in the fitting:

- Planck + WP : Planck high l, low l[8], and WMAP9 polarization data[3, 11].
- Planck + WP + BICEP : add BICEP data[6, 7].
- Planck + WP + BICEP +BAO : add BAO data from SDSS DR9[2], SDSS DR7[14], 6dF[4].

As have been noted by the BICEP group, in order to be compatible with the Planck data, the running of spectrum tilt α is needed. We shall consider a ΛCDM model, and assume the scalar perturbations are purely adiabatic, and the scalar and tensor mode power spectra are parameterized by

$$P_\zeta(k) \equiv A_s \left(\frac{k}{k_0}\right)^{n_s-1+\frac{1}{2}\alpha_s \ln\frac{k}{k_0}} \quad (1)$$

$$P_t(k) \equiv A_t \left(\frac{k}{k_0}\right)^{n_t} \quad (2)$$

where $k_0 = 0.05\ \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$, is the pivot scale, roughly in the middle of the logarithmic range of scales probed by the WMAP and Planck experiments. The parameter α_s denotes the running of the scalar spectral tilt[12] with $\alpha_s = \frac{d\,n_s}{d\ln k}$. The primordial tensor-to-scalar ratio is defined by $r \equiv A_t/A_s$ at a chosen pivot scale, for example $r_{0.05}$ is defined at $k_0 = 0.05\,\mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$, and $r_{0.002}$ at $k_0 = 0.002\,\mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$. Throughout this paper, r without the subscript (as in our plots) is $r_{0.002}$. In Planck Collaboration paper XVI (2013)[8], n_t was assumed to be close to zero, and satisfy a single-field inflation consistency relation $n_t = -r_{0.05}/8$. To investigate the more general case, in this paper we have treated n_t and r as independent parameters, and put flat prior on all parameters.

We show the constraints on the primordial power spectrum and neutrino parameters in Fig.1, and constraints on other parameters in Fig.2. The marginalized 68% bounds on the parameters based on different datasets are listed in Table I.

For the r vs n_s contour, comparing with Fig.13 in the BICEP2 paper, our contour is looser for the same dataset because we do not fix n_t and N_{eff} in our fittings. Note that the contours labelled as "Planck+WP+highL" in their paper is the same dataset as the one labelled "Planck + WP" in our paper. Our results are in general agreement with the BICEP result, even though there are slight differences in the parameter settings, sampling methods, and the data set used (BAO data is added in our paper). As BICEP did, our result favors $r \sim 0.2$ and $n_s \sim 0.96$. After combining with the Planck + WP + BICEP +BAO dataset, we obtain the marginalized 68% bounds on these two parameters are:

$$r = 0.2096^{+0.0443}_{-0.0608}, \quad (3)$$

$$n_s = 0.9653^{+0.0129}_{-0.0146}. \quad (4)$$

For the running of the scalar spectral tilt, we obtain $\alpha_s = -0.0226^{+0.0115}_{-0.0115}$ (1σ error bar), using the Planck + WP + BICEP +BAO dataset. Comparing with the $\alpha_s = -0.0144^{+0.0133}_{-0.0132}$ result obtained by only using the Planck and the WMAP dataset (see Table I), the best-fit value of α_s is 0.01 smaller. The decrease in α_s could reduce the TT angular power at small l region (see Fig.3), is the simple way to relax the tension between Planck and BICEP2 at large scale TT auto-correlation power. The effect of decreasing α_s on the other power spectra is that it could lower the matter power spectrum at very large scale and very small scales. If future galaxy surveys can probe the matter power spectrum at extremely large scales, the constraint on α_s can be further improved.

As shown by Fig.1, it is very hard to constrain n_t by the current data. Due to the very flat likelihood function of r, one could only obtain a stable result after collecting a very large MCMC sample, for smaller samples it is very easily trapped into a local minimum and give a misleading result. To illustrate this, we compare results of 100000 and 400000 chain samples in Fig.4. The result from 100000 sample gives a deceptive tighter constraint on $n_t \sim 2$, though with more data points the limit is weakened.

After collecting 400000 chain samples, we obtain the 68% confidence level of n_t as

$$n_t = 1.5261^{+3.4739}_{-3.5261}, \quad (5)$$

using the Planck + WP + BICEP +BAO dataset. This result shows that a blue tilt is slightly favored, but the flat or red tilt is consistent with the current data.

We compare the CMB spectra with different n_t in Fig5. The tensor mode only affects the CMB spectra, mainly on large scale region. A big change in n_t from 0 to 4 only induces very small change at low l angular power. This is the



FIG. 1: Joint constraints on primordial power spectrum parameters and N_{eff} based on three datasets.

reason why one can not constrain n_t tightly with the current data. Bluer power spectrum tilt on tensor mode help suppress large scale power (small l) on CMB TT, EE and BB power spectra. It might be considered to reconcile the tension between the Planck measured large scale TT and the BICEP2 measured BB power. Also, due to the flat distribution of n_t, the single-field inflation consistency relation $n_t = r_{0.05}/8$ used in the Planck paper can not be tested.

Constraints on the other cosmological parameters are shown in Fig.2 and Table I. Except for r and α_s, there is not much difference with the addition of BICEP2 data. Some parameters, for example N_{eff}, θ_{MC}, thought to be possibly tangled with r, show not evidence to deviate from the Planck best fit value.

By combining the BAO data, we obtain stricter constraint on all parameters, and help break the parameter degeneracy. Nevertheless, there is also not much difference by the addition of the BICEP data in this case.

III. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we use the newly published BICEP2 CMB B-mode data, Planck CMB temperature data[8], the WMAP 9 year CMB polarization data[3, 11] to constrain the base lensed ΛCDM model. In addition to the CMB



FIG. 2: Constraints on other cosmological parameters

data, we also use the BAO data from SDSS DR9[2], SDSS DR7[14], 6dF[4], which help to break parameter degeneracy.

For most parameters, we find that the best fit values and measurement errors are not altered much by the introduction of the BICEP2 data. The most affected parameters are r and α_s. Combining Planck + WP + BICEP +BAO dataset, we obtain marginalized 68% bounds on some interested parameters are:

$$r = 0.2096^{+0.0443}_{-0.0608}, \quad (6)$$

$$n_s = 0.9653^{+0.0129}_{-0.0146}, \quad (7)$$

$$\alpha_s = -0.0226^{+0.0115}_{-0.0115}, \quad (8)$$

$$n_t = 1.5261^{+3.4739}_{-3.5261}, \quad (9)$$

We find that a blue tensor tilt ($n_t > 0$) is slightly favored, but a flat or red tilt is consistent with the data. We note that a false tight constraint on n_t might be obtained if the MCMC chain is not sufficiently long.

In the near future, Planck and other experiments will provide more data on CMB polarization, and help improve the constraint on these parameters.



FIG. 3: Comparison of different running index α.

Acknowledgements

We thank Antony Lewis for kindly providing us the beta version of CosmoMC code for testing. Our MCMC computation was performed on the Laohu cluster in NAOC. This work is supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology 863 project grant 2012AA121701, the NSFC grant 11073024, 11103027, and the CAS Knowledge Innovation grant KJCX2-EW-W01.

[1] K. N. Abazajian, G. Aslanyan, R. Easther, and L. C. Price. The Knotted Sky II: Does BICEP2 require a nontrivial primordial power spectrum? *ArXiv e-prints*, March 2014.

[2] L Anderson, E Aubourg, S Bailey, D Bizyaev, M Blanton, A S Bolton, J Brinkmann, J R Brownstein, A Burden, A J Cuesta, L A N da Costa, K S Dawson, R de Putter, D J Eisenstein, J E Gunn, H Guo, J C Hamilton, P Harding, S Ho, K Honscheid, E Kazin, D Kirkby, J P Kneib, A Labatie, C Loomis, R H Lupton, E Malanushenko, V Malanushenko, R Mandelbaum, M Manera, C Maraston, C K McBride, K T Mehta, O Mena, F Montesano, D Muna, R C Nichol, S E Nuza, M D Olmstead, D Oravetz, N Padmanabhan, N Palanque-Delabrouille, K Pan, J Parejko, I Paris, W J Percival, P Petitjean, F Prada, B Reid, N A Roe, A J Ross, N P Ross, L Samushia, A G Sanchez, D J Schlegel, D P Schneider, C G Scoccola, H J Seo, E S Sheldon, A Simmons, R A Skibba, M A Strauss, M E C Swanson, D Thomas, J L Tinker, R Tojeiro, M V Magana, L Verde, C Wagner, D A Wake, B A Weaver, D H Weinberg, M White, X Xu, C Yèche, I Zehavi, and G B Zhao. The clustering of galaxies in the SDSS-III Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey: baryon acoustic oscillations in the Data Release 9 spectroscopic galaxy sample. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 427(4):3435–3467, January 2013.

[3] C L Bennett, D Larson, J L Weiland, N Jarosik, G Hinshaw, N Odegard, K M Smith, R S Hill, B Gold, M Halpern, E Komatsu, M R Nolta, L Page, D N Spergel, E Wollack, J Dunkley, A Kogut, M Limon, S S Meyer, G S Tucker, and E L Wright. NINE-YEAR WILKINSON MICROWAVE ANISOTROPY PROBE(WMAP) OBSERVATIONS: FINAL MAPS AND RESULTS. *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, 208(2):20, September 2013.



FIG. 4: Comparison of 100000 and 400000 samples result.

TABLE I: Summary of the best fit value of cosmological parameters and the corresponding 68% intervals. The "Planck + WP" column list the result of using the temperature map from Planck and polarization map form WMAP9; "Planck + WP + BICEP" column shows the result with BICEP2 data added; "Planck + WP + BICEP + BAO" column are the result with BAO data added.

	Planck + WP		Planck + WP + BICEP		Planck + WP + BICEP + BAO	
Parameter	Best fit	68% limits	Best fit	68% limits	Best fit	68% limits
τ	0.0898	$0.0981\pm^{0.0138}_{0.0166}$	0.0930	$0.1024\pm^{0.0144}_{0.0167}$	0.0940	$0.0981\pm^{0.0126}_{0.0143}$
$\Omega_b h^2$	0.0222	$0.0225\pm^{0.0004}_{0.0005}$	0.0226	$0.0227\pm^{0.0004}_{0.0004}$	0.0225	$0.0225\pm^{0.0003}_{0.0003}$
$\Omega_c h^2$	0.1153	$0.1207\pm^{0.0049}_{0.0078}$	0.1217	$0.1218\pm^{0.0057}_{0.0078}$	0.1189	$0.1192\pm^{0.0047}_{0.0062}$
σ_8	0.8128	$0.8326\pm^{0.0186}_{0.0265}$	0.8294	$0.8382\pm^{0.0214}_{0.0268}$	0.8251	$0.8277\pm^{0.0169}_{0.0199}$
N_{eff}	2.8469	$3.2827\pm^{0.3050}_{0.6485}$	3.3265	$3.4079\pm^{0.4223}_{0.6124}$	3.0743	$3.1415\pm^{0.2904}_{0.3903}$
Ω_m	0.3081	$0.2945\pm^{0.0211}_{0.0210}$	0.2948	$0.2875\pm^{0.0208}_{0.0207}$	0.3036	$0.2997\pm^{0.0108}_{0.0108}$
Ω_Λ	0.6919	$0.7055\pm^{0.0210}_{0.0211}$	0.7052	$0.7125\pm^{0.0207}_{0.0208}$	0.6964	$0.7003\pm^{0.0108}_{0.0108}$
Age/Gyr	13.9677	$13.5543\pm^{0.5622}_{0.3747}$	13.5066	$13.4267\pm^{0.5288}_{0.4497}$	13.7319	$13.6833\pm^{0.3438}_{0.2943}$
$\ln(10^{10}A_s)$	3.0817	$3.1102\pm^{0.0309}_{0.0369}$	3.1052	$3.1223\pm^{0.0326}_{0.0369}$	3.1018	$3.1084\pm^{0.0265}_{0.0267}$
$100\theta_{MC}$	1.0420	$1.0412\pm^{0.0009}_{0.0009}$	1.0407	$1.0412\pm^{0.0009}_{0.0009}$	1.0417	$1.0414\pm^{0.0008}_{0.0008}$
n_s	0.9571	$0.9715\pm^{0.0181}_{0.0252}$	0.9680	$0.9779\pm^{0.0207}_{0.0247}$	0.9637	$0.9653\pm^{0.0129}_{0.0146}$
n_t	0.2191	$1.5192\pm^{3.4808}_{3.5192}$	3.2297	$1.5459\pm^{3.4541}_{3.5459}$	3.3239	$1.5261\pm^{3.4739}_{3.5261}$
α_s	-0.0137	$-0.0144\pm^{0.0133}_{0.0132}$	-0.0195	$-0.0184\pm^{0.0129}_{0.0130}$	-0.0246	$-0.0226\pm^{0.0115}_{0.0115}$
r	0.0407	$0.1110\pm^{0.0247}_{0.1110}$	0.1842	$0.2136\pm^{0.0449}_{0.0625}$	0.1891	$0.2096\pm^{0.0443}_{0.0608}$
$r_{0.05}$	0.0428	$0.1027\pm^{0.0268}_{0.1027}$	0.1722	$0.1913\pm^{0.0374}_{0.0446}$	0.1745	$0.1913\pm^{0.0376}_{0.0450}$
z_{re}	10.8933	$11.6642\pm^{1.1962}_{1.2957}$	11.2991	$12.0327\pm^{1.2265}_{1.2310}$	11.3113	$11.6479\pm^{1.0614}_{1.0535}$
H_0	66.9659	$70.0603\pm^{2.8008}_{4.4875}$	70.1080	$71.2071\pm^{3.2582}_{4.4912}$	68.3978	$68.9446\pm^{1.8756}_{2.3189}$

[4] Florian Beutler, Chris Blake, Matthew Colless, D Heath Jones, Lister Staveley-Smith, Lachlan Campbell, Quentin Parker, Will Saunders, and Fred Watson. The 6dF Galaxy Survey: baryon acoustic oscillations and the local Hubble constant. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 416(4):3017–3032, July 2011.

[5] R. H. Brandenberger, A. Nayeri, and S. P. Patil. Closed String Thermodynamics and a Blue Tensor Spectrum. *ArXiv e-prints*, March 2014.

[6] Bicep2 Collaboration, P. A. R. Ade, R. W. Aikin, M. Amiri, D. Barkats, S. J. Benton, C. A. Bischoff, J. J. Bock, J. A. Brevik, I. Buder, E. Bullock, G. Davis, C. D. Dowell, L. Duband, J. P. Filippini, S. Fliescher, S. R. Golwala, M. Halpern,



FIG. 5: Comparison of different tensor index n_t.

M. Hasselfield, S. R. Hildebrandt, G. C. Hilton, V. V. Hristov, K. D. Irwin, K. S. Karkare, J. P. Kaufman, B. G. Keating, S. A. Kernasovskiy, J. M. Kovac, C. L. Kuo, E. M. Leitch, N. Llombart, M. Lueker, C. B. Netterfield, H. T. Nguyen, R. O'Brient, R. W. Ogburn, A. Orlando, C. Pryke, C. D. Reintsema, S. Richter, R. Schwarz, C. D. Sheehy, Z. K. Staniszewski, K. Story, R. V. Sudiwala, G. P. Teply, J. E. Tolan, A. D. Turner, A. G. Vieregg, P. Wilson, C. L. Wong, and K. W. Yoon. BICEP2 II: Experiment and Three-Year Data Set, March 2014.

[7] Bicep2 Collaboration, P. A. R. Ade, R. W. Aikin, D. Barkats, S. J. Benton, C. A. Bischoff, J. J. Bock, J. A. Brevik, I. Buder, E. Bullock, C. D. Dowell, L. Duband, J. P. Filippini, S. Fliescher, S. R. Golwala, M. Halpern, M. Hasselfield, S. R. Hildebrandt, G. C. Hilton, V. V. Hristov, K. D. Irwin, K. S. Karkare, J. P. Kaufman, B. G. Keating, S. A. Kernasovskiy, J. M. Kovac, C. L. Kuo, E. M. Leitch, M. Lueker, P. Mason, C. B. Netterfield, H. T. Nguyen, R. O'Brient, R. W. Ogburn, A. Orlando, C. Pryke, C. D. Reintsema, S. Richter, R. Schwarz, C. D. Sheehy, Z. K. Staniszewski, R. V. Sudiwala, G. P. Teply, J. E. Tolan, A. D. Turner, A. G. Vieregg, C. L. Wong, and K. W. Yoon. BICEP2 I: Detection Of B-mode Polarization at Degree Angular Scales, March 2014.

[8] Planck Collaboration, P A R Ade, N Aghanim, C Armitage-Caplan, M Arnaud, M Ashdown, F Atrio-Barandela, J Aumont, C Baccigalupi, A J Banday, R B Barreiro, J G Bartlett, E Battaner, K Benabed, A Benoît, A Benoit-Lévy, J P Bernard, M Bersanelli, P Bielewicz, J Bobin, J J Bock, A Bonaldi, J R Bond, J Borrill, F R Bouchet, M Bridges, M Bucher, C Burigana, R C Butler, E Calabrese, B Cappellini, J F Cardoso, A Catalano, A Challinor, A Chamballu, R R Chary, X Chen, L Y Chiang, H C Chiang, P R Christensen, S Church, D L Clements, S Colombi, L P L Colombo, F Couchot, A Coulais, B P Crill, A Curto, F Cuttaia, L Danese, R D Davies, R J Davis, P de Bernardis, A de Rosa, G de Zotti, J Delabrouille, J M Delouis, F X Désert, C Dickinson, J M Diego, K Dolag, H Dole, S Donzelli, O Doré, M Douspis, J Dunkley, X Dupac, G Efstathiou, F Elsner, T A Enßlin, H K Eriksen, F Finelli, O Forni, M Frailis, A A Fraisse, E Franceschi, T C Gaier, S Galeotta, S Galli, K Ganga, M Giard, G Giardino, Y Giraud-Héraud, E Gjerløw, J González-Nuevo, K M Górski, S Gratton, A Gregorio, A Gruppuso, J E Gudmundsson, J Haissinski, J Hamann, F K Hansen, D Hanson, D Harrison, S Henrot-Versillé, C Hernández-Monteagudo, D Herranz, S R Hildebrandt, E Hivon, M Hobson, W A Holmes, A Hornstrup, Z Hou, W Hovest, K M Huffenberger, T R Jaffe, A H Jaffe, J Jewell, W C Jones, M Juvela, E Keihänen, R Keskitalo, T S Kisner, R Kneissl, J Knoche, L Knox, M Kunz, H Kurki-Suonio, G Lagache, A Lähteenmäki, J M Lamarre, A Lasenby, M Lattanzi, R J Laureijs, C R Lawrence, S Leach, J P Leahy, R Leonardi, J León-Tavares, J Lesgourgues, A Lewis, M Liguori, P B Lilje, M Linden-Vørnle, M López-Caniego, P M Lubin, J F Macías-Pérez, B Maffei, D Maino, N Mandolesi, M Maris, D J Marshall, P G Martin, E Martínez-González, S Masi, S Matarrese, F Matthai,

P Mazzotta, P R Meinhold, A Melchiorri, J B Melin, L Mendes, E Menegoni, A Mennella, M Migliaccio, M Millea, S Mitra, M A Miville-Deschênes, A Moneti, L Montier, G Morgante, D Mortlock, A Moss, D Munshi, P Naselsky, F Nati, P Natoli, C B Netterfield, H U Nørgaard-Nielsen, F Noviello, D Novikov, I Novikov, I J O'Dwyer, S Osborne, C A Oxborrow, F Paci, L Pagano, F Pajot, D Paoletti, B Partridge, F Pasian, G Patanchon, D Pearson, T J Pearson, H V Peiris, O Perdereau, L Perotto, F Perrotta, V Pettorino, F Piacentini, M Piat, E Pierpaoli, D Pietrobon, S Plaszczynski, P Platania, E Pointecouteau, G Polenta, N Ponthieu, L Popa, T Poutanen, G W Pratt, G Prézeau, S Prunet, J L Puget, J P Rachen, W T Reach, R Rebolo, M Reinecke, M Remazeilles, C Renault, S Ricciardi, T Riller, I Ristorcelli, G Rocha, C Rosset, G Roudier, M Rowan-Robinson, J A Rubiño-Martín, B Rusholme, M Sandri, D Santos, M Savelainen, G Savini, D Scott, M D Seiffert, E P S Shellard, L D Spencer, J L Starck, V Stolyarov, R Stompor, R Sudiwala, R Sunyaev, F Sureau, D Sutton, A S Suur-Uski, J F Sygnet, J A Tauber, D Tavagnacco, L Terenzi, L Toffolatti, M Tomasi, M Tristram, M Tucci, J Tuovinen, M Türler, G Umana, L Valenziano, J Valiviita, B Van Tent, P Vielva, F Villa, N Vittorio, L A Wade, B D Wandelt, I K Wehus, M White, S D M White, A Wilkinson, D Yvon, A Zacchei, and A Zonca. Planck 2013 results. XVI. Cosmological parameters. *arXiv.org*, astro-ph.CO, March 2013.

[9] M. Gerbino, A. Marchini, L. Pagano, L. Salvati, E. Di Valentino, and A. Melchiorri. Blue Gravity Waves from BICEP2 ? *ArXiv e-prints*, March 2014.

[10] J.-O. Gong. Non-trivial running of the primordial tensor spectrum. *ArXiv e-prints*, March 2014.

[11] G Hinshaw, D Larson, E Komatsu, D N Spergel, C L Bennett, J Dunkley, M R Nolta, M Halpern, R S Hill, N Odegard, L Page, K M Smith, J L Weiland, B Gold, N Jarosik, A Kogut, M Limon, S S Meyer, G S Tucker, E Wollack, and E L Wright. NINE-YEAR WILKINSON MICROWAVE ANISOTROPY PROBE(WMAP) OBSERVATIONS: COSMOLOGICAL PARAMETER RESULTS. *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, 208(2):19, September 2013.

[12] A. Kosowsky and M. S. Turner. Cbr anisotropy and the running of the scalar spectral index. *Phys. Rev. D* , 52:1739, August 1995.

[13] Antony Lewis and Sarah Bridle. Cosmological parameters from cmb and other data: a monte- carlo approach. *Phys. Rev.*, page 103511, 2002.

[14] Nikhil Padmanabhan, Xiaoying Xu, Daniel J Eisenstein, Richard Scalzo, Antonio J Cuesta, Kushal T Mehta, and Eyal Kazin. A 2 per cent distance to z= 0.35 by reconstructing baryon acoustic oscillations – I. Methods and application to the Sloan Digital Sky Survey. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 427(3):2132–2145, November 2012.